WHY IS THIS ROOM SO DIFFICULT TO BALANCE?

Diagnostic Guide
GD-011

A technical diagnostic guide to unstable airflow, shared systems, leakage, controls, and room-to-room coupling in containment laboratories

A practical guide to diagnosing rooms that cannot maintain design airflow, pressure relationships, or stable control despite repeated TAB adjustments.

DIAGNOSTIC GUIDE

HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

A ROOM THAT WILL NOT STAY BALANCED MAY BE REVEALING A SYSTEM PROBLEM, NOT A TAB PROBLEM.

This guide was created for containment rooms that are repeatedly rebalanced, adjusted, and “fine-tuned” but continue to drift, destabilize adjacent spaces, or require extreme damper and fan positions to reach the target condition.

Why we developed it

Testing, adjusting, and balancing can confirm and refine airflow, but it cannot correct every cause of instability in a containment room. Excessive leakage, insufficient exhaust authority, poorly selected terminals, competing control loops, shared-system interactions, or design airflow values that cannot coexist may all be present.

Repeated local adjustment may temporarily recover one room while shifting the deficit or instability elsewhere in the system.

The purpose of this guide is to help technical teams determine whether the problem is truly balancing or whether TAB is being asked to compensate for a deeper design, envelope, capacity, or controls problem.

Who can use it

The guide is intended for TAB specialists, HVAC engineers, commissioning teams, facility managers, controls specialists, biosafety personnel, and project teams responsible for containment airflow systems.

It may be used during commissioning, after renovations, during troubleshooting, or in operating facilities where a room or zone repeatedly loses balance.

HOW TO USE IT IN PRACTICE

Begin with the accepted design values and the current measured condition. Then determine whether the room has a stable envelope, whether the air system has sufficient authority, whether adjacent rooms are competing for the same capacity, and whether the controls can maintain the required state without oscillation.

The objective is not to force the room to a value at one moment in time. It is to establish a stable, repeatable balance that the complete system can maintain under realistic operating conditions.

01 MEASURE

02 TRACE

03 COORDINATE

04 STABILIZE

HOW THIS GUIDE MAY BE USED — AND WHAT IT DOES NOT AUTHORIZE

This guide is a general diagnostic-orientation tool. It is designed to help structure technical questions, organize evidence, and define what requires more specific evaluation.

Acceptable use

It may be used to:

- structure preliminary technical investigations;
- prepare internal reviews and multidisciplinary discussions;
- identify missing information, measurements, and documentation;
- support definition of the scope of a subsequent evaluation;
- serve as educational and internal technical reference material.

It does not constitute

This guide does not constitute a TAB procedure, commissioning protocol, HVAC design, acceptance criterion, certification, compliance determination, authorization to modify or operate, or site-specific recommendation.

Technical and operational decisions

No adjustment of airflow, dampers, setpoints, control sequences, fan speeds, pressure criteria, or return-to-service decisions should be based solely on this guide.

Applicable technical basis

The content should be interpreted together with the risk assessment, Basis of Design, HVAC drawings and sequences, TAB and commissioning data, approved pressure criteria, manufacturer instructions, regulatory requirements, and other site-specific documentation.

Competent personnel

Airflow adjustments, control modifications, and balancing decisions that affect containment-critical functions should be performed or reviewed by appropriately qualified personnel and evaluated in the context of the complete system.

Responsibility

BioLab Solutions assumes no responsibility for decisions, modifications, interventions, or actions carried out outside a site-specific evaluation and without review by competent personnel.

First published: October 2026
Current version: October 2026 | v1.0

COPYRIGHT AND PERMITTED USE

© 2026 Biological Laboratory Solutions S.A.S. de C.V. All rights reserved.

This publication may be downloaded, retained, and used internally for educational, technical, and reference purposes.

It may not be reproduced, redistributed, modified, sold, or incorporated, in whole or in part, into commercial materials, courses, procedures, specifications, reports, or third-party publications without prior written authorization from BioLab Solutions.

Brief excerpts may be quoted with full attribution to BioLab Solutions and reference to the corresponding Diagnostic Guide.

01 Confirm what “balanced” is supposed to mean

Air balance is not a single number. It is a coordinated set of room and system conditions.

Before adjusting dampers, establish the intended relationship between supply airflow, exhaust airflow, room pressure, and directional airflow.

Supply airflow

What CFM or L/s were intended, and what function does that airflow support?

Exhaust airflow

What quantity is required to produce the intended offset and pressure relationship?

Room pressure

Relative to which space, at what setpoint, and within what acceptable range?

Directional airflow

Which boundary must maintain inward or outward airflow under normal conditions?

Thermal load

Must the required airflow also remove the current thermal load?

Operating state

Are values defined for occupied, unoccupied, failure, or maintenance modes?

> **Diagnostic warning:** if the design values were inherited from generic ACH assumptions rather than developed as a coordinated room balance, they may not define a stable operating condition compatible across airflow, pressure, and load.

02 Measure the current condition before touching the dampers

A room that has been adjusted repeatedly may lose any reliable reference to its original condition.

Record at minimum

- supply airflow;
- exhaust airflow;
- room differential pressure;
- adjacent-room pressures;
- fan speed;
- damper positions;
- filter differential pressure;
- VAV/terminal command;
- door condition.

Capture the system, not only the room

Measure connected spaces under the same test condition. A local airflow value may appear correct while another room absorbs the consequence.

Document the exact operating mode, equipment status, and door condition at the time of measurement.

BEFORE REBALANCING, ESTABLISH WHERE THE AIR IS ACTUALLY GOING.

03 Determine whether the room envelope is driving the balance

Leakage determines how much transfer air is required to sustain a pressure differential.

A room with excessive or variable leakage may be difficult to balance because the required airflow offset is not stable.

Doors

Review frame seals, leaf seals, thresholds, closer action, and door alignment.

Penetrations

Inspect cable, piping, duct, and utility penetrations for unsealed or recently modified openings.

Ceiling / head-of-wall interface

Confirm the actual upper boundary and concealed leakage paths above ceilings or at slab interfaces.

Pass-throughs / equipment

Review perimeter seals and interfaces around fixed equipment that crosses the boundary.

Temporary openings

Look for access panels, abandoned sleeves, missing covers, or maintenance openings.

Operational variability

Determine whether normal door use or equipment access materially changes leakage.

> If the room requires substantially more exhaust than expected to maintain the same pressure, verify the envelope before declaring that the original airflow is “too low.”

04 Determine whether the exhaust system has sufficient authority

Balancing cannot create capacity that the fan and duct system do not have.

Review

- current exhaust CFM;
- fan speed and available margin;
- maximum VFD command;
- filter differential pressure;
- duct static pressure;
- damper position;
- shared-branch demand;
- current redundancy status.

Indicators of insufficient authority

- fan at or near maximum speed;
- critical branch damper fully open;
- room still below required exhaust airflow;
- balance improves only when another room is throttled;
- filter loading causes progressive loss of room airflow.

A FULLY OPEN DAMPER MAY MEET THE REQUIRED AIRFLOW, BUT IT LEAVES LITTLE OR NO CONTROL MARGIN. IT MAY ALSO INDICATE INSUFFICIENT SYSTEM AUTHORITY.

05 Look for competition between rooms

Rooms connected to a shared fan or duct system may redistribute available capacity when one branch is adjusted.

Observed behavior	What it may indicate
Room A improves when Room B is throttled	Shared exhaust limitation or low branch authority.
Several rooms drift at the same time	Common fan, filter, pressure-control, or static-pressure problem.
One room is always “the problem room”	Longer duct path, higher resistance, undersized terminal, poor envelope, or unfavorable branch location.
Rebalancing one room throws another out of balance	The system is redistributing rather than being brought to a stable total balance.
End-of-line rooms cannot reach design airflow	Insufficient static pressure or excessive upstream pressure drop.
Pressure changes when unrelated equipment starts	Shared fan/duct interaction or common control strategy.

> A room-by-room TAB sequence may conceal system coupling if total fan and branch interactions are not reviewed at the same time.

06 Determine whether terminal devices operate within a controllable range

Control devices need sufficient authority to modulate without remaining permanently at their limits.

Terminal / VAV authority

Can the terminal control within its intended airflow range with the available duct pressure?

Damper position

Devices operating near 0% or 100% have little remaining modulation range.

Minimum controllable airflow

Low-flow conditions may fall below reliable measurement or control limits.

Sensor location

Poorly located airflow stations or pressure sensors may produce unstable or misleading control signals.

Noise / turbulence

Excessive velocity and restrictive dampers may indicate that the system is forcing airflow through an unsuitable control point.

Device sizing

Oversized or undersized terminals may make stable modulation difficult even where total capacity is available.

A DEVICE AT ITS LIMIT MAY MEET A NUMBER TEMPORARILY WITHOUT PROVIDING STABLE CONTROL.

07 Separate balancing problems from control-loop problems

A mechanically balanced system may become unstable once the controls begin reacting.

Indicators of control instability

- pressure oscillates around the setpoint;
- supply and exhaust dampers oscillate;
- fan speed changes continuously;
- high/low alarms alternate;
- the room stabilizes in manual mode but not automatic mode;
- recovery after door opening is slow or overshoots the target.

Controls questions

- What variable does each loop control?
- Which loop has authority?
- Are supply and exhaust both attempting to control room pressure?
- Are delays and PID settings appropriate?
- Are sensors stable and correctly referenced?

TAB should establish and document the achievable mechanical operating range. Control tuning should then preserve that range dynamically rather than continually redistributing it.

DESIGN-VALUE REVIEW

CAN THE SPECIFIED VALUES ACTUALLY COEXIST?

Some rooms are difficult to balance because the design targets are internally incompatible.

ACH versus pressure offset

A prescribed ACH may imply supply/exhaust airflow values that conflict with the offset required to maintain the pressure cascade.

Thermal load versus containment airflow

Heat removal may require more supply air than the negative-pressure strategy can accommodate without additional exhaust.

Equipment exhaust versus room balance

BSC or process exhaust may materially alter the room offset when equipment cycles or is added later.

Pressure setpoint versus leakage

A tight pressure target with a highly variable envelope may require unstable control effort.

Total branch demand versus fan capacity

Each room may have a reasonable design airflow while the combined total exceeds the available system performance.

Normal-state versus failure-state targets

The design may define steady-state values but omit how the system should behave during fan transitions or door events.

TAB CANNOT RECONCILE DESIGN TARGETS THAT DO NOT FIT WITHIN THE SAME PHYSICAL SYSTEM.

COMMON BALANCING FAILURES

WHAT OFTEN GOES WRONG

“Just open the exhaust damper more.”

If the damper is already nearly fully open, the problem may be capacity or upstream resistance.

“Increase the fan speed.”

Higher speed may recover one zone while increasing energy use, noise, and instability elsewhere.

“The room is negative, so it is balanced.”

Pressure may be correct while airflow, fan margin, or relationships with adjacent rooms are not.

“TAB will fix it at the end.”

TAB cannot correct undersized ductwork, missing fan capacity, excessive leakage, or incompatible control logic.

“We balanced each room individually.”

Shared systems require coordinated system balancing, not isolated room-by-room optimization.

“The numbers passed once.”

A single passing reading does not demonstrate repeatability during normal door use, equipment states, or automatic control.

08 Test repeatability, not only a successful balance

A containment balance should survive normal operational variation.

Door operation

Observe pressure excursion and recovery during representative entries and exits.

Equipment states

Repeat measurements with significant exhaust-producing or heat-generating equipment in realistic operating modes.

Adjacent rooms

Confirm that stabilizing the target room does not destabilize neighboring zones.

Automatic controls

Verify that the room remains stable after devices are returned from manual balancing positions to automatic operation.

Fan / filter condition

Evaluate whether the system has sufficient remaining margin to tolerate expected filter loading and normal variability.

Trend review

Use BMS trends after balancing to confirm stability over time, not only during the TAB visit.

> A credible final balance is repeatable, documented, and compatible with normal operation; it is not a single favorable set of readings.

MEASUREMENT CONDITION

DO NOT BALANCE A COUPLED SUITE AS IF EACH ROOM WERE INDEPENDENT

In pressure-coupled containment areas, sequential adjustments may conceal that one room is being corrected at the expense of another.

Measure simultaneously where practical

Record supply, exhaust, and key pressure relationships between rooms under the same operating condition rather than relying only on isolated spot readings.

Define door state

Document which doors are closed, open, or cycling during measurement and whether interlocks are active.

Define system mode

Record occupied/unoccupied mode, duty fan, VFD output, terminal positions, and temporary overrides.

Observe the reference environment

Changes in adjacent corridors, building pressure, or shared exhaust/supply systems may shift the apparent room balance without any local change.

> **A BALANCE RESULT HAS MEANING ONLY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE OPERATING CONDITION IN WHICH IT WAS MEASURED.**

CONTROL RANGE

A SYSTEM MAY REACH DESIGN CFM AND STILL HAVE NO USEFUL CONTROL MARGIN

Look beyond the final reading

- fan speed or VFD output;
- terminal / damper position;
- available static pressure;
- filter differential pressure;
- room leakage condition;
- response to door disturbance;
- effect on adjacent rooms.

Diagnostic limit

If design airflow can only be achieved with a fan, damper, or terminal at or near its operating limit, the system may have very little remaining authority for filter loading, seasonal change, door operation, or normal drift.

That is not a stable basis for accepting the balance simply because one set of readings meets the target.

Acceptance question: can the required relationships be maintained repeatedly with reasonable control authority, not merely achieved once?

DIAGNOSTIC SEQUENCE

WHY WILL THIS ROOM NOT STAY BALANCED?

01

Are the intended supply, exhaust, and pressure relationships clearly defined?

No → reconcile the design basis before making further TAB adjustments.
Yes → continue.

02

Has the current room and system condition been measured comprehensively?

No → establish airflow, pressure, fan, damper, and adjacent-room conditions.
Yes → continue.

03

Is the containment envelope stable enough to sustain the intended offset?

No → correct or characterize leakage before relying on airflow adjustments.
Yes → continue.

04

Does the system have sufficient fan and branch authority?

No → resolve capacity, resistance, or branch limitations.
Yes → continue.

05

Are rooms or control loops competing with one another?

Yes → rebalance and retune at system level.
No → continue.

06

Does the final balance remain stable under normal operational variation?

No → investigate unresolved coupling, controls, or design incompatibility.
Yes → document the accepted stable condition.

WHAT SHOULD BE AVAILABLE?

Design

- airflow schedules;
- pressure criteria;
- ACH basis;
- equipment exhaust;
- control sequences;
- fan selection.

Measured condition

- supply/exhaust CFM;
- room pressures;
- adjacent-room pressures;
- fan speed;
- damper position;
- filter DP.

System data

- duct static pressure;
- terminal ranges;
- VAV commands;
- sensor calibration;
- shared-branch flows;
- control trends.

Verification

- TAB report;
- repeatability tests;
- door-recovery tests;
- functional control tests;
- deficiencies;
- final accepted condition.

Review objective: determine whether instability originates in room leakage, airflow capacity, system resistance, branch interaction, controls, or incompatible design targets.

AFTER REPEATED UNSUCCESSFUL BALANCING ATTEMPTS

WHEN TO STOP ADJUSTING AND REOPEN THE TECHNICAL BASIS

Repeated TAB corrections indicate that the review should broaden when the room cannot maintain the required condition without extreme or unstable configurations.

Escalate when

- critical dampers remain fully open;
- fans operate continuously near maximum;
- one room can only be balanced by degrading another;
- pressure oscillation persists after control tuning;
- design airflow cannot be achieved;
- envelope leakage is excessive or variable;
- equipment loads exceed the original basis.

The next step may be a design correction

Possible interventions may include duct modification, fan-capacity review, control-strategy review, terminal replacement, envelope repair, review of room airflow criteria, or broader system reconfiguration.

At that point, the problem is no longer simply TAB.

WHEN BALANCING BECOMES A REPEATED EXERCISE IN COMPENSATION, THE SYSTEM BASIS SHOULD BE REEXAMINED.

BEFORE ANOTHER LOCAL TAB ADJUSTMENT, CONFIRM WHAT THE ROOM IS COMPENSATING FOR

Use this checklist as a diagnostic-support tool. A checked item does not constitute acceptance; each element must be interpreted against the risk basis, facility configuration, and applicable criteria.

□ Confirm the current design airflow, pressure relationship, and accepted operating condition for the room and adjacent spaces.

□ Measure supply and exhaust under a defined operating state and record door condition, fan / VFD status, and relevant terminal positions.

□ Compare current airflow with the accepted baseline rather than relying only on damper position or recent TAB values.

□ Determine whether the room is operating near the available limit of a damper, terminal, fan, or control loop.

□ Evaluate leakage, transfer-air paths, and envelope changes that may alter the required airflow offset.

□ Review shared-system interactions: changes in one room should be checked against their consequences in connected rooms or zones.

□ Check for competing control loops, unstable sensors, poorly located references, or controller responses that prevent a stable operating point.

□ Evaluate filter loading, duct resistance, and remaining exhaust authority where the room depends on a shared exhaust system.

□ Use coordinated or simultaneous measurements where practical if sequential balancing could shift the problem elsewhere.

□ Define the condition that must be demonstrated after correction: stable airflow, pressure relationship, recovery behavior, and adequate control margin.

> **Technical-use note:** This review checklist is a diagnostic-support tool. It does not establish site-specific acceptance criteria, replace risk assessment or professional judgment, constitute certification, or authorize operation or return to service.

If the review identifies a condition requiring site-specific evaluation, BioLab Solutions can support technical assessment, verification, and definition of the required evidence.

RELATED BIOLAB RESOURCES

EXPLORE AIRFLOW, PRESSURE, ENVELOPES, AND CONTROL AUTHORITY IN GREATER DEPTH

NT-010
Directional airflow as control logic

NT-011
Pressure cascades as dynamic-state conditions

NT-012
ACH as a derived variable

NT-013
Failure modes in pressure-control systems

NT-014–015
HVAC integration and exhaust authority

NT-017
HVAC control hierarchy and authority logic

NT-021–024
Envelope continuity and leak tightness

NT-033
Functional testing versus compliance testing

NT-039
Lifecycle drift in containment systems

BIOLAB DIAGNOSTIC GUIDES

Short technical resources organized around real containment problems rather than individual disciplines.

Series concept: symptom → system → evidence → restoration.

CLOSING DIAGNOSTIC PRINCIPLE

A STABLE BALANCE IS A SYSTEM CONDITION — NOT A DAMPER POSITION.

Rooms become difficult to balance when airflow, leakage, controls, and shared-system capacity no longer align around a stable operating point.

TAB can measure and refine that condition, but it cannot create missing fan authority, reconcile incompatible design targets, repair an unstable envelope, or eliminate shared-system interactions through local adjustment.

The diagnostic objective is to identify what the room is being asked to compensate for. Once the underlying constraint is corrected, balancing returns to what it should be: the final coordination of a system that is already capable of reaching the intended containment state.

BIOLAB SOLUTIONS

Independent technical support for planning, design, commissioning, assessment, and lifecycle management of high-containment laboratories.

For site-specific evaluation, BioLab Solutions can support technical review, verification, and definition of evidence requirements.

DESIGN VALUES → SYSTEM RESISTANCE → ROOM-TO-ROOM COUPLING → STABLE BALANCE